

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DAFFER MCDANEIL LLP P.O. BOX 684908 AUSTIN, TX 78768 JAN 0 5 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Harry Schilling

Application No. 10/736,446

Filed: December 15, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 5858-01800

DECISION ON PETITION

TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER

37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 2, 2005, to make the above-identified application special based on prospective manufacture as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section I.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(d) and MPEP § 708.02, Section I: Manufacture, must be accompanied by the required fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(h) and a statement by the applicant, assignee, or attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office alleging:

(A) The possession by the prospective manufacturer of sufficient presently available capital (stating approximately the amount) and facilities (stating briefly the nature thereof) to manufacture the invention in quantity or that sufficient capital and facilities will be made available if a patent is granted;

If the prospective manufacturer is an individual, there must be a corroborating statement from some responsible party, as for example, an officer of a bank, showing that said individual has the required available capital to manufacture;

- (B) That the prospective manufacturer will not manufacture, or will not increase present manufacture, unless certain that the patent will be granted;
- (C) That the prospective manufacturer obligates himself, herself or itself, to manufacture the invention, in the United States or its possessions, in quantity

immediately upon the allowance of claims or issuance of a patent which will protect the investment of capital and facilities; and

(D) That the applicant or assignee has made or caused to be made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has a good knowledge of the pertinent prior art.

Applicant must provide one copy of each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record.

The petition states "Accompanying this petition is an Information Disclosure Statement reciting such prior art for consideration by the Examiner. The references being most closely related to the claimed subject matter are characterized within the captioned patent application specification, and are hereby placed of record in the Information Disclosure Statement filed herewith." The USPTO records do not show the Information Disclosure Statement was ever received; therefore, the petition is dismissed

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail: Mail Stop PETITION

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office By hand:

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at 571-272-3210.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2633 for action in its regular turn.

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions